Raul Perez (SBN 174687) Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com Robert J. Drexler, Jr. (SBN 119119) Robert.Drexler@capstonelawyers.com Molly Ann DeSario (SBN 230763) Molly.DeSario@capstonelawyers.com Jonathan Lee (SBN 267146) Jonathan.Lee @capstonelawyers.com Capstone Law APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 06/30/2022 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Cou				
Attorneys for Plaintiff Mario Cordero SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES					
				MARIO CORDERO, individually, and on	Case No. 20STCV49475
				behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,	Assigned to the Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl
Plaintiff,	[AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL				
v.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION				
SPIRE HOSPITALITY, LLC, a Delaware	SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND				
HOTEL, LLC, a Delaware limited liability	EXPENSES, AND A CLASS REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE AWARD				
company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Date: June 22, 2022				
Defendants.	Time: 10:30 a.m. Place: Department 12				
	Page 1				
	Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com Robert J. Drexler, Jr. (SBN 119119) Robert.Drexler@capstonelawyers.com Molly Ann DeSario (SBN 230763) Molly.DeSario@capstonelawyers.com Jonathan Lee (SBN 267146) Jonathan.Lee @capstonelawyers.com Capstone Law APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396 Attorneys for Plaintiff Mario Cordero SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNT MARIO CORDERO, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SPIRE HOSPITALITY, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AWH BURBANK HOTEL, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.				

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Expenses, and a Class Representative Service Award (collectively, the "Motions"). Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having reviewed the Motions, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and GOOD CAUSE appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, which are adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court have been satisfied.
- 2. This Order hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims and Addendum (collectively, "Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), together with the definitions and terms used and contained therein.
- 3. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Class Notice fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to opt out or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California and due process. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly opt out of the settlement are bound by this Order.
- 6. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation

///

conducted by Plaintiff's Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.

- 7. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.
- Agreement and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the settlement provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.
- 9. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendants or by any other released party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendants or any other released party. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or liability whatsoever by or against Defendants or any of the other released parties.
- 10. Final approval shall be with respect to: Current and former California non-exempt hourly employees of Defendants who worked at any time during the period from December 28, 2016 through December 2, 2021.

11. Plaintiff Mario Cordero is a suitable Class Representative and is hereby appointed the Class Representative for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Plaintiff's investment and commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Settlement Class, and that his interests are aligned with those of the Settlement Class.

- 12. The Court hereby awards Plaintiff a Class Representative Enhancement Payment of \$7,500 for his service on behalf of the Settlement Class, and for agreeing to a general release of all claims arising out of his employment with Defendants.
- 13. The Court finds that the attorneys at Capstone Law APC have the requisite qualifications, experience, and skill to protect and advance the interests of the Settlement Class. The Court therefore finds that counsel satisfy the professional and ethical obligations attendant to the position of Class Counsel, and hereby appoints Capstone Law APC as counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 14. The settlement of civil penalties under PAGA in the amount of \$10,000 is hereby approved. Seventy-Five Percent (75%), or \$7,500, shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency. The remaining Twenty-Five Percent (25%), or \$2,500, will be added to the Net Settlement Amount.
- 15. The Court hereby awards \$166,666.67 in attorneys' fees and \$18,295 in costs and expenses to Capstone Law APC. The Court finds that the requested award of attorneys' fees is reasonable for a contingency fee in a class action such as this; i.e., one-third of the common fund created by the settlement. Counsel have also established the reasonableness of the requested award of attorneys' fees via their lodestar crosscheck, and the Court finds that the rates and hours billed are fair and reasonable.
- 16. The Court approves settlement administration costs and expenses in the amount of \$9,500 to CPT Group, Inc.
- 17. All Class Members were given a full and fair opportunity to participate in the Approval Hearing, and all members of the Settlement Class wishing to be heard have been heard. Members of the Settlement Class also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed settlement and the class. Accordingly, the terms of the Settlement Agreement and of the Court's Order shall be forever binding on all Class Members. These Class Members have released and forever

Any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, penalties, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, action or causes of action, contingent or accrued, which relate to the allegations and claims asserted in the complaints filed in the Action, including without limitation claims for: (1) unpaid overtime (Cal Lab. Code §§ 510 and 1198); (2) unpaid minimum wage (Cal Lab. Code §§ 1182.12, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, and 1198); (3) failure to provide meal periods (Cal Lab. Code §§ 226.7, 512(a), 516, and 1198); (4) failure to authorize and permit rest breaks (Cal Lab. Code §§ 226.7, 516, and 1198); (5) non-compliant wage statements and failure to maintain payroll records (Cal Lab. Code §§ 226(a), 1174(d), and 1198); (6) failure to pay wages timely upon termination (Cal Lab. Code §§ 201 and 202); (7) failure to timely pay wages during employment (Cal Lab. Code § 204); (8) failure to provide one day of rest in seven (Cal Lab. Code §§ 551, 552, and 558); (9) failure to provide reporting time pay (Cal Lab. Code §§ 1198 and Cal. Code Regs. Title 8, Section 11050 Subdivision 5(A)); (10) unreimbursed business expenses (Cal Lab. Code § 2802); (11) seeking civil penalties pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA) (Cal. Lab. Code § 2698, et seq.); (12) unlawful business practices (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200, et seq.); and (13) unfair business practices (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200, et seq.); claims for any alleged failure to pay all wages due (including minimum wage and overtime wages), failure to pay for all hours worked, including off-the clock work, pre- and post-shift work (such as donning and doffing), failure to provide meal and rest periods, short/late meal and rest periods, failure to relieve of all duties during meal and rest periods, failure to pay or underpayment of meal and rest break premiums, auto-deduction of meal periods, failure to timely pay wages and final wages, failure to properly calculate or compensate at the regular rate of pay, failure to keep accurate records, failure to furnish accurate wage statements, failure to provide one day of rest in seven, failure to pay reporting time pay, failure to pay split shift premiums, failure to compensate for time spent on mandatory drug testing or reimburse costs associated with mandatory drug testing, failure to compensate for on-call or standby time, failure to provide notice under Labor Code section 2810.5, failure to provide suitable seating, liquidated damages, conversion of wages, pre and post-shift work, record-keeping violations, and claims regarding failure to reimburse business expenses, up to and including the date of preliminary approval by the Court. The released claims include without limitation claims meeting the above definition(s) under any and all applicable statutes, including without limitation any provision of the California Labor Code; Private Attorneys General Act (California Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.); California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.; any provision of the applicable California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders based on the facts or claims alleged in any version of the Complaints of letters to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency in the Action.

23

24

25

26

27

18. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters related to the administration and consummation of the settlement, and any and all claims, asserted in, arising out of, or related to the subject matter of the lawsuit, including but not limited to all matters related to the settlement and the determination of all controversies relating thereto.

28 || ///

19. Plaintiff shall file a declaration from the Settlement Administrator regarding the completion of settlement administration activities no later than May 2, 2023, as well as an amended judgment re cy pres distribution. seodyn & Kuhl IT IS SO ORDERED. 06/30/2022 Carolyn B. Kuhl / Judge Dated: Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl Los Angeles County Superior Court Judge

2 3	I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within suit; my business address is 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067.		
4	CDAN	On June 22, 2022, I served the	document described as: [AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND
5	MOTI	ON FOR ATTORNEYS'	FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND A CLASS
6		l [or] [\checkmark] a true copy thereof [\checkmark]	ARD on the interested parties in this action by sending [] the to interested parties as follows [or] [] as stated on the attached
7	Androx	w M. MaNaught	Attorneys for Defendants
8			SPIRE HOSPITALITY, LLC and AWH
9	emacgi	Elizabeth J. MacGregor BURBANK HOTEL, LLC emacgregor@seyfarth.com	
10	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor		
11	San Francisco, California 94105		
12	[]		N A SEALED ENVELOPE): I deposited the envelope(s) ourse of business at Los Angeles, California. I am "readily
13		familiar" with this firm's practice mailing. Under that practice	practice of collection and processing correspondence for ce, sealed envelopes are deposited with the U.S. Postal
14 15		Service that same day in the prepaid at Los Angeles, Cali	ne ordinary course of business with postage thereon fully fornia.
16	[]	related to the coronavirus an	National Emergency and public health orders in California ad COVID-19 pandemic, Capstone Law APC attorneys and
17		in the normal course of bus	nd therefore; have limited capabilities to send physical mail iness. On this date, I personally transmitted the foregoing ic mail to the e-mail address(es) of the person(s) listed
18		above.	re contract to the contract to the process (c)
19 20	[X]	electronically via One Legal	EVICE: I caused the document(s) to be transmitted l eService to the individuals listed above, as they exist on
		that database. This will cons	stitute service of the document(s).
21	[]		ERY: I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of prespondence for overnight delivery. Under that practice,
22 23			losed in a sealed envelope with a packing slip attached packages are picked up by the carrier at our offices or lesignated collection site.
24			perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
25	foregoi	1 1	ed on June 22, 2022 , at Los Angeles, California
26			Las Fra
27	Type/I	Print Name	Signature
28			

PROOF OF SERVICE